1	ATTORNEYS	FOR	NICHOLAS	COROZZO:	DERMUID WE	HITE, ESQ. MAHON, ESQ.	
2					GERALD MC	MAHON, ESQ.	
3							
4							
5							
6							
7							
8							
9							
10							
11							
12							
13							
14							
15							
16							
17							
18							
19							
20							
21							
22	Reporter:		LISA S	SCHMID, CCR, RM	R		
23			225 Ca	adman Plaza Eas lyn, New York	t Rm 354E		
24				513-2644			
25	Proceeding	gs re	ecorded by	y mechanical st	enography,	transcript	ion

1	THE COURT: Good morning, everyone.
2	MR. LIPTON: Good morning, Judge.
3	THE COURT: Sit down, everyone.
4	Mr. Carneglia?
5	THE DEFENDANT: Right here.
6	THE COURT: Counsel?
7	THE CLERK: Counsel?
8	MS. SHARKEY: Kelly Sharkey for Mr. Carneglia.
9	MR. FARBER: And Curtis Farber for Mr. Carneglia.
10	Good morning, Your Honor.
11	THE COURT: Mr. Decongilio?
12	MR. MERBERG: James Merberg, appearing for Mr.
13	Decongilio, Your Honor.
14	THE COURT: Mr. Corozzo?
15	MR. WHITE: Dermuid White and Gerald McMahon for Mr.
16	Corozzo.
17	MR. LIPTON: Good morning, Your Honor. Joey Lipton,
18	Roger Burlingame, Daniel Brownell, Marissa Seifan and Evan
19	Norris for the government.
20	THE COURT: Well, as I understand it, we have two
21	problems here today. Mr. Decongilio and Mr. Corozzo want to be
22	severed. Mr. Carneglia wants an immediate trial. Mr.
23	Carneglia wants more time, correct?
24	MR. FARBER: Correct, Judge.
25	THE COURT: Is that what we're

MS. SHARKEY: And disclosure of the documents the Court has previously ordered.

MR. MERBERG: With regard to Mr. Decongilio, I don't believe we have asked for an immediate trial. We haven't received any discovery. We are asking for a severance.

an immediate trial. I've got a very heavy trial calendar that runs. I've got a jury selected already in the ferry boat case. I've got the gun cases immediately following. I've got the capital case immediately following that. Then I have that securities case, which has bounced around the court and up and down to the Court of Appeals, following that.

So I can't get to this case until December, January, because where I'm faced with a defendant charged with five murders, some of them running back many years. Obviously, the discovery and the motion practice is going to be very difficult.

The other two defendants are relatively light, compared to this one defendant, as I understand from my reading of it, but I may be wrong. I'll hear from everybody.

Now, I could slip this case in immediately, or else it will go over into December, January -- if I sever it and try it immediately. And that means it's the only slot I possibly have here is an August 18th date. That presses the Court very hard. It presses everybody, I know.

If you want an August 18th date for the other defendants, I'll sever you and put you on right away, and order immediate discovery of everything. Open up the files, and let's get to work. No big secrets, anyway. I mean, we're just fencing around.

Do you want to really try the case? Do you want to really have a severance? You think you have a shot? Let's go and try it. Let's be practical about it. What do you want to do? Do you want to consult with your clients?

MR. WHITE: Yes.

MR. MCMAHON: Yes.

THE COURT: But that's the only thing I can do for you. In a five murder case, I cannot force that defendant to trial immediately. It would be absurd. Discovery has got to go into all of those crimes. It's going to take months.

The other two defendants are relatively light. I don't say that they're unimportant charges. Every charge is important to the defendant, of course. It's the kind of case where I might even want to consider some kind of arrangement.

But I'll hear from the government, then go consult, and we'll put you back on the calendar for a little later in the morning. We have got to be practical about it.

What's the government's view?

MR. LIPTON: Yes, Judge.

From the government's perspective, the practical

reality of an August 18th date is that we are going to be very pressed, as Your Honor said.

THE COURT: (Shrugs shoulders.)

MR. LIPTON: What we intended to do, we will be doing is superseding, we believe, as to all three of these defendants.

THE COURT: I really don't want to hear about the superseding. I am not going to allow a supersession to continually put off trials of these cases. You have got a whole mob here indicted.

I'm prepared to try that whole mob and sentence the whole mob. And I put every effort of the Court into getting these cases ready for trial and sentence. And I'm not going to be fobbed off by supersession.

So if you supersede, I'll try it on whatever remains of the prior indictment. And as far as the defendants are concerned, they may have a double jeopardy problem or they may want to try it all together, because they don't want to have two bites at the apple for the government. Those are all practical considerations.

MR. LIPTON: (Nods head affirmatively.)

THE COURT: But let's be realistic about what we have.

Every other defendant in this mob case -- if I may so

characterize it only for the purpose purposes of discussion,

without coming to any conclusions with respect to the realities

of the situation -- every other defendant is now up for sentencing. You have got these three. One of them is a nine hundred pound gorilla case. I won't characterize what the other two are, but they're relatively much lighter.

MR. LIPTON: Well, Judge, we are not in any way, shape or form indicating that we're superseding to fob off the case or in any way to try to delay matters. We indicated that we were going to do that at the last status conference, and even before.

The reality is that we've been getting this case very quickly through the system, and now we're down to these final three defendants, the only defendants that are left out of 62 defendants.

And the case against Mr. Carneglia, obviously, is very serious, five murders. The case against Mr. Corozzo, also very serious. There's two murders. There is also almost a dozen extortion charges that involve -- probably be a hundred hours of tapes.

Trying to get either one, two or three with Mr. Decongilio ready to go to trial in two weeks, essentially, it will be 13 days, with the government requesting an anonymous jury, which we think is warranted under the circumstances, would just, I think -- and I would think be a reasonable characterization -- just not something that we would be able to do.

THE COURT: What is the basic charge against

Decongilio?

MR. LIPTON: He's charged in the RICO conspi

MR. LIPTON: He's charged in the RICO conspiracy with two predicate acts, one narcotics offense and one loansharking.

THE COURT: Those are very simple.

MR. LIPTON: They are not very complicated, Judge.

Correct. There is, however, enterprise evidence. There's certain things relating to the RICO that the government would have to put on to prove the charges, so it necessarily makes it somewhat --

THE COURT: The case is about a three or four day case.

MR. LIPTON: Judge, we don't see it that way, just because we have several witnesses, and it just -- even if Your Honor was trying to press things along, as you I know Your Honor will, I don't think it's going to be that quick of a trial.

THE COURT: Corozzo, what's the case against Corozzo?

Murder?

MR. LIPTON: There's a double murder. There were also a dozen extortions involving one main cooperating witness that involved what we're trying to pare down, but is probably going to be in the neighborhood of anywhere from fifty to a hundred hours of tapes that we're going to play, and we're doing our best to get those narrowed down. You know the advantages of

doing that. But that is also going to be a couple of months
just to get that through the system.

And then Carneglia, with the five murders, in addition to other charges is in a similar time frame of a couple months.

We would like to get these cases completed, Your Honor, but August 18th is just -- would put some severe limitations --

THE COURT: It's the only time I have.

MR. LIPTON: Judge, we understand Your Honor's schedule is very tight. You're trying a lot of cases. We have done everything we can, in addition --

THE COURT: I'm not criticizing you. I'm not criticizing anybody at counsel table.

MR. MCMAHON: Your Honor, if I might, the government was under an obligation, until a few days ago, to have all three defendants ready for trial on September 15th. Under Your Honor's proposed same date, now they don't have to worry about Mr. Carneglia the entire five alleged murders until next January or thereafter.

I do believe that the case against -- the two cases of Mr. Decongilio and Mr. Corozzo, the government clearly could be ready on August 18th. It is no more of a burden than it is for the defendants. All the extortions -- there may be multiple extortions, but they all revolve around Mr. Valero, one simple person.

1 I think it's clearly August 18th, subject to 2 consultation with Mr. Corozzo and Mr. White, of course. Ι 3 don't think that the government should be allowed to say that they can't be ready, when they had to be ready for all three on 4 5 September 15th. 6 THE COURT: I suppose I could do Corozzo with 7 I can't go into September because I have a capital Carneglia. 8 case, which we sent down to Washington. The government sent it 9 down. Shouldn't be a capital case. I think everybody 10 understands that. But Washington wants it as a capital case. 11 Four years, it has been up to the Second Circuit, and I can't 12 hold it off. I mean, that is an immovable rock in my calendar, 13 September 15th, one month, two months. 14 We've got the gun cases, which has been straggling, 15 and I have this other case involving securities, where 16 defendants, if they're acquitted, they're just ordinary 17 law-abiding citizens. They're entitled to get that weight off 18 that's been hanging for years. 19 MR. MCMAHON: Judge, perhaps we could consult with the 20 clients now, to make our decision as to whether we wish to go 21 ___ 22 THE COURT: Why don't you try to do that? 23 MR. MERBERG: Your Honor, James Merberg for Mr. 24 Decongilio.

I filed a renewed motion for severance, addressing all

these issues. Mr. Decongilio's cases, Your Honor may recall,
there are two -- he is only charged in Count One, and I know
the government's been wielding the sword about superseding.

They have done that from the beginning. Nothing has happened
as of yet.

But the two predicate acts, one involves, as I understand from Mr. Lipton -- he can correct me if I'm wrong -- a conspiracy involving one pound of marijuana. The other predicate acts, I believe, two individuals as John Doe Two and Three, claimed extortionate extension of credit.

Mr. Decongilio is not in custody. He has been released from the first day. He's appeared on every occasion. Maybe it would be appropriate simply to continue his case to when Your Honor's schedule lightens up. I think we can try it in the four days I think the Court is correct on --

THE COURT: You're not going to rush --

MR. MERBERG: I want to talk to my client, but I haven't received one drop of discovery, not one drop. I received a lot of letters, but none of it has anything to do with Mr. Decongilio.

We're talking about a nine-year-old marijuana conspiracy. I don't think the evidence is going to get any better or any worse. They either have it or they don't. They haven't chosen to turn over the information.

THE COURT: Can the marshals allow counsel, arrange

1	for counsel to consult someplace in the building?
2	What time is it?
3	THE CLERK: We have a calendar right through 2:30.
4	THE COURT: Polizzi is a heavy motion. Then we have a
5	sentencing at 11:30. I guess we could make it at 12. And we
6	have a two o'clock, 2:30.
7	MR. MCMAHON: Your Honor, I don't think we need more
8	than five minutes to speak to our clients, rather than bog down
9	your schedule. I think I could speak to my client in a matter
10	of minutes. I think my brother counsel can, as well.
11	THE COURT: Well, the simplest way to handle it might
12	be for everyone to clear the courtroom except counsel and the
13	defense. We'll just leave the courtroom. Clear the courtroom,
14	and the marshals will remain. And it's ten after ten.
15	We'll convene at 10:15.
16	MR. MCMAHON: That's fine.
17	THE COURT: Everybody else out, including the
18	reporter.
19	(Recess)
20	THE COURT: Now, what's the view of defense counsel?
21	MR. WHITE: Your Honor, Dermuid White, if I may be
22	heard.
23	The case against Mr. Corozzo involves a double
24	homicide in which he's not alleged to have been involved in
25	executing the murder itself, but to have ordered it. So the

evidence against him will be basically cooperator testimony,

Your Honor. They'll not be a lot of dispute about forensics or

crime scene evidence like that.

The murder itself, the alleged shooter in that, has already been tried in the Southern District a few years ago, and the total amount of evidence that went into that murder proof lasted about a week, I would say, over a lengthier trial, but just on that charge.

There are ten racketeering acts of extortion against Mr. Corozzo, but they all involve one witness, the cooperator, Joseph Valero. So, although it sounds like a lot of extortions, it's still one witness. It's the government's choice how many tapes they want to play, but I don't see the case as being all that complicated.

Mr. Corozzo is incarcerated. He's anxious for an early trial. We don't want to wait until December or January, and we're ready and willing to go forward on August 18th, and we think you would have plenty of time for your September 15th trial, Your Honor.

We will not oppose an anonymous jury. We'll oppose a sequestered jury or partially sequestered jury. I don't believe there will be a lot of litigation here in terms of extensive motion practice.

We have a fairly good grip on the case in terms of what's involved in legal issues and the factual issues, so I

think we can go forward on the 18th, and you know, we'll be finished by the 15th.

3 THE COURT: Thank you.

4 MR. MCMAHON: I totally agree with Mr. White, as does 5 Mr. Corozzo.

THE COURT: Government?

MR. LIPTON: Judge, we disagree with the assessment by defense counsel for Mr. Corozzo. It's just not that simple that it's going to be done by September 15th.

While the double murder charge was subject to a case in the Southern District that Mr. White was involved in, and maybe the evidence of the murder went in a week, the extortions, which there's one main cooperator witness, but there are other witnesses.

There are just an exorbitant number of tapes that involve discreet extortions that are charged individually, and while it may be largely one victim, the explanation for each of them involves a number of tapes involving not just Mr. Corozzo, but the people who were under him and those involved that will literally take a few weeks to get through.

In addition, there's gambling charges, which there's a number of tapes, include wire tape recordings that we have to go into.

I'm not saying this to try to delay matters. The reality is that even with Your Honor trying to make sure we

streamline this as much as possible, which we think is always to the benefit of all sides, it's just not going to get done that quickly.

And I'm even putting aside just the preparation to get that in order, which would -- maybe if we had 13 days free of anything else, we could try to do it, which I just don't think we really would -- but in this case, we have a number of sentencings, which we have been trying to respond to submissions and get done as quickly as possible.

And we have a situation here, Your Honor, where Mr. Corozzo was a fugitive for four months. He voluntarily turned himself in, but he knew the indictment was out there. He's now trying to get an immediate trial, which he has a right to do, except that to do that, he has to take away time that the government's got to put into trying to get the people sentenced who didn't flee, and trying to get the people sentenced who have submitted things that we have a right to respond to.

Mr. Corozzo recently took a plea to a state charge. He's facing five to 15 years, Judge. So he's going to be incarcerated for that period of time, roughly ten years, no matter what. So it's not as if we have somebody who needs to get their trial immediately, so that they can resolve those charges and get out.

If he does win in this case, Your Honor, when that comes to pass, he is going to be facing time in the state,

which is at a minimum, from my understanding, five years and most likely around ten years.

They should press their rights where appropriate, but in this case, doing it in 13 days from today's date is just not reasonable, from the government's perspective.

MR. WHITE: Your Honor, just a factual correction.

His state plea is conditional. If he is acquitted in this case, that plea is withdrawn, and any sentence he gets in that case would be concurrent in this case.

MR. MCMAHON: Judge, to correct the record, Mr. Corozzo has, through Mr. White, pressed for an immediate trial, right from the beginning moment he surrendered.

THE COURT: Yes, I know he has.

Well, the government was supposed to have been ready to try this case shortly after the indictments came down, and that's months and months ago.

The speedy trial rule provides for a delay, but that delay has not been justified for many months, except for when the case was characterized as complex by this Court.

I believe that it can be well tried, fairly tried to the defendants and the government, if it's set down for August 18th.

We'll select the jury. I don't understand why it has to be an anonymous jury. I am certainly not going to use a sequestered jury, but I don't care for anonymous juries. Why

anonymous?

MR. LIPTON: We can address that. Because an anonymous jury is necessary here because of the safety of the witnesses and of the jurors. We have the Gambino family, who has a long history, and even we have more recent information about jury tampering in a number of trials -- in fact, trials in which Mr. Corozzo was a defendant, where it's clear that the jury was tampered with, and which resulted in the acquittals of Mr. Corozzo on one case back in the eighties.

THE COURT: All right. I'll give you an anonymous jury.

MR. LIPTON: Judge, just to address the 18th date, I mean, just on the equities, we're trying to do everything we could to be ready by the September date, which we had previously been ordered by Your Honor, and working around the clock to --

THE COURT: Yes, but the September date included the other defendant who is a main defendant, who is the most difficult to prepare for, which I'm severing.

MR. LIPTON: Your Honor, we were doing both. It's not as if one person's doing both. We have a number of people obviously here.

THE COURT: I'm not criticizing you. The U.S.

Attorneys have handled the case well. You have a very large staff assigned to it.

1 But we have to move on. I'm going to sever it, and 2 set these two defendants down. 3 MR. MERBERG: Your Honor, with regard to 4 Mr. Decongilio, we did consult with him first. We would be 5 willing to waive any speedy trial claims. It's 13 days from today that we would be picking a jury. I have received no Rule 6 7 16 discovery, no Jenks, no 404, nothing. 8 THE COURT: Give him all this discovery. 9 MR. LIPTON: Judge, he has all the discovery that he's 10 going to get as to those charges, Your Honor. We also do think 11 12 THE COURT: You have given him everything? 13 MR. LIPTON: We have given him everything as to the 14 charges. 15 THE COURT: You'd better get together and consult, and 16 find out what you think you didn't get. He thinks you've got 17 everything. 18 MR. MERBERG: I can say we haven't received any experts, any scientific, anything under the Rule. I haven't 19 20 received one shred of evidence directly against Mr. Decongilio. 21 There are no wiretaps. There are no surveillance photographs. 22 There is no physical evidence, but I've received 23 nothing else, no information about with whom he's alleged to 24 have conspired, nothing. No enterprise information, not one

shred of evidence, Your Honor, directly related to Mr.

- Decongilio. If I'm in error, I invite my brother to explain to the Court.
- MR. LIPTON: Judge, we have given him everything.
- 4 There is no scientific evidence. This is largely a cooperator
- 5 case, and as Your Honor knows, cooperator cases are largely
- 6 testimony.
- 7 THE COURT: Do they know who the cooperating witness
- 8 is going to be?
- 9 MR. LIPTON: I don't know.
- 10 MR. MERBERG: The answer is no, Judge.
- 11 THE COURT: Who is the cooperating witness, again?
- MR. LIPTON: We're not at liberty at this time to say.
- THE COURT: Well, when are you going to be at liberty?
- 14 MR. LIPTON: Well, Judge, it depends when Mr.
- 15 Decongilio would be set down for trial.
- 16 THE COURT: Well, I'm setting it down for trial for
- 17 August 18th. I've just done that.
- MR. LIPTON: Well, I just didn't know if Mr.
- 19 Decongilio was amenable to that, Your Honor.
- THE COURT: He's amenable if he gets his discovery.
- 21 When were you going to give him the discovery?
- 22 MR. LIPTON: We have given him the discovery, Your
- Honor. There's probably some enterprise evidence that will be
- 24 coming, but --
- THE COURT: Who is the chief witness against him?

1	MR. LIPTON: We're not at liberty at this time to say,
2	because we are if we're going to be trying to go to trial,
3	there is a lot of history of tampering with witnesses. We're
4	very concerned.
5	THE COURT: You can't do that. You can't do that.
6	You have got to he's got to know who the witness is. He's
7	got to know what transcripts are going to be used against him.
8	MR. LIPTON: Absolutely, Your Honor, and we do that
9	THE COURT: You must have had that when the grand jury
10	indicted him.
11	MR. LIPTON: Judge, there's no transcript as to Mr.
12	Decongilio.
13	THE COURT: Are there any wiretaps?
14	MR. LIPTON: No. Mr. Merberg knows that.
15	THE COURT: All right. That's conceded.
16	MR. MERBERG: That I understood, Your Honor.
17	THE COURT: That's not the only question is, is it
18	a one-witness case against him?
19	MR. LIPTON: No, Your Honor.
20	THE COURT: How many witnesses?
21	MR. LIPTON: There are probably, in terms of
22	cooperators, three or four.
23	THE COURT: That are going to testify against him?
24	MR. LIPTON: Correct, Judge.
25	THE COURT: All right. Give him the witnesses' names.

1	Today's Tuesday? Give it to him by next Monday.
2	MR. LIPTON: Your Honor
3	MR. MERBERG: Would that include the Jenks material,
4	as well, Your Honor? These witnesses have testified in other
5	cases. I'm a sole practitioner. I need to have the
6	information.
7	THE COURT: Give him the Jenks, too.
8	MR. LIPTON: Given the tight time frame, we'll give
9	them 3500 consistent with the rule. We'll do everything we can
10	do to get that.
11	THE COURT: The case is assigned to a magistrate judge
12	to expedite discovery. If you have any complaints, take them
13	to the magistrate judge.
14	MR. MERBERG: Your Honor, that's fine. What's the
15	existing order in terms of turning over the materials that you
16	have directed? Will that be by next Tuesday or by Monday?
17	THE COURT: Monday.
18	MR. MERBERG: Received by Monday?
19	MR. LIPTON: I know Your Honor's views on superseding,
20	but I think the government
21	THE COURT: I have no superseding before me.
22	MR. LIPTON: Correct, Your Honor.
23	THE COURT: I've got an indictment.
0.4	MD LIDEON: Van

THE COURT: That's what I'm ordering.

MR. LIPTON: Yes.

24

1	MR. LIPTON: I understand.
2	THE COURT: If there's a superseding, I'll consider
3	it.
4	MR. LIPTON: Thank you, Judge. I just want to make
5	sure that everyone is on notice that it may be coming, and I
6	just don't want Your Honor to then say
7	THE COURT: No. I have no superseding indictment
8	before me.
9	MR. MERBERG: Just so
10	THE COURT: I can't make decisions based on
11	hypotheticals.
12	There is now a superseding indictment?
13	MR. MERBERG: Yes, Your Honor.
14	THE COURT: Have they pleaded?
15	MR. MERBERG: As to Mr. Decongilio, he's charged again
16	in the same one count.
17	THE COURT: Has he pleaded?
18	MR. MERBERG: He has not pleaded.
19	THE COURT: How does he plead?
20	THE DEFENDANT: He pleads not guilty.
21	THE COURT: And does he waive reading?
22	MR. MERBERG: Yes, Your Honor.
23	MR. MCMAHON: Same with Mr. Corozzo.
24	THE COURT: He pleads not guilty?
25	MR. MCMAHON: Yes, Judge.

1	THE COURT: Waives the reading?
2	MR. MCMAHON: Yes, Judge.
3	THE COURT: All right. Now, as to Carneglia
4	MR. FARBER: Yes, Your Honor. He needs to be
5	arraigned on the superseding indictment, as well, Your Honor.
6	THE COURT: How does he plead?
7	MR. FARBER: Not guilty.
8	THE COURT: Waives the reading?
9	MR. FARBER: He does, Your Honor.
10	THE COURT: June, January for him, first week in
11	January.
12	THE CLERK: January 5th.
13	THE COURT: Mr. Carneglia is set down for trial
14	January 5th.
15	MR. FARBER: That's fine, Judge.
16	MS. SHARKEY: Judge
17	THE COURT: 2009.
18	MS. SHARKEY: Your Honor, there are issues of
19	discovery compliance.
20	THE COURT: I don't want to take them up this morning.
21	MS. SHARKEY: Okay.
22	THE COURT: Respectfully refer it to the magistrate
23	judge, and take them up. If you don't get a satisfactory
24	decision but I don't see any point in burdening everybody
25	with those matters at this point. The government has to

concentrate on these two defendants, not Carneglia.

MR. LIPTON: Yes, Judge. And we obviously have to deal with the sentencings that are going to be coming up in the next 13 days, which is going to obviously hamper our ability to prepare.

THE COURT: I don't see why it will hamper your ability. The pattern is very clear in those sentences, subject to hearing every defendant and the government and every witness and every restitution witness that you proffer, the pattern is very clear.

They come within the guidelines, at the lower end.

And I don't know what the great difficulty is. They all have been dealt with plea agreements. You're really at this stage pretty cut and dry.

MR. WHITE: Your Honor, can I address a collateral issue here?

THE COURT: (Nods head affirmatively.)

MR. WHITE: Mr. Corozzo surrendered on May 29th. He has been in custody since then. He has not had medical treatment, has not been seen by a doctor or any other person.

I want to make sure when he starts on trial on August 18th, that he is in good health and is going to be healthy throughout the trial.

THE COURT: Well, he should see a doctor. Did you check --

1	MR.	WHITE:	The government is participating with me.
2	THE	COURT:	What's his problem?
3	MR.	WHITE:	Well, he has prostate cancer, Your Honor.
4	THE	COURT:	Cancer or an indication?
5	MR.	WHITE:	No, cancer. He's had
6	THE	COURT:	How old is he?
7	MR.	WHITE:	He's 68 years old, Your Honor, a young
8	man.		
9	THE	COURT:	Read today's New Yorker. Very
10	encouraging.		
11	MR.	WHITE:	But we may need the Court's help if he
12	THE	COURT:	I am always available.
13	MR.	WHITE:	He had a couple of appointments, and they
14	were just ca	nceled.	
15	THE	COURT:	The Court is always here.
16	MR.	WHITE:	Thank you, Your Honor.
17	THE	COURT:	How many jurors do we think we're going to
18	need?		
19	MR.	LIPTON:	Given that it's an anonymous jury, Your
20	Honor		
21	THE	COURT:	And the summer.
22	MR.	LIPTON:	And the summer, I think roughly two to
23	three hundre	d, and t	hat may be on the low end. I think
24	THE	COURT:	Put in an order for 300 jurors. We have
25	to put it in	immedia	tely. That's why we have to fix the date

1 immediately.

MR. LIPTON: And Your Honor, just so it's clear, the
jury selection would be starting on the 18th. Did you refer
that to the magistrate? It's anonymous. I think Your Honor
handles that.

THE COURT: I think I ought to handle it, because we'll get done -- not better, but quicker, and then we can go into immediate openings.

You're not going to use -- or are you going to want to use a questionnaire?

MR. LIPTON: Yes, Judge. We will prepare one and get to that defense counsel.

THE COURT: And now, we ought to set it down for in limine questionnaire rulings and other matters for hearing next week. Thursday or so?

MR. LIPTON: I would say Thursday, we try to resolve that.

THE COURT: August 14th, 10 a.m. And the parties will get together and work on the questionnaire, if they don't agree on it.

Anything further?

MS. SHARKEY: Judge, when would you -- with the January 5th trial date, we have some substantive motions to file. What date would you like us to file them on?

THE COURT: Whenever you want to. I really -- I would

1	say the September.
2	MS. SHARKEY: Okay.
3	THE COURT: Make them returnable mid-September.
4	MR. MERBERG: Your Honor, just for the record, on
5	behalf of Mr. Decongilio, having represented to Your Honor we
6	waived the speedy trial, we're asking the Court to consider a
7	severance in the Decongilio/Corozzo matter for the same reason
8	that I raised in my last submission, which has been filed.
9	I understand the government has filed an opposition.
10	The issues remain essentially identical, other than we're not
11	in the same case now with Mr. Carneglia.
12	THE COURT: Denied. The Court has considered the
13	matter. A trial together will not prejudice your client, and
14	will substantially add to the efficiency of the court and the
15	United States Attorney's Office.
16	MR. MERBERG: Does the Court intend to work full trial
17	days? The reason I ask
18	THE COURT: Yes.
19	MR. MERBERG: is to ask the Court to give me a
20	protective order, because I have other matters already
21	scheduled for trial.
22	THE COURT: Draft it and I'll sign it.
23	MR. MERBERG: All right.
24	MR. WHITE: Will we be sitting all five days, Your
25	Honor?